

**From:** Dwight M  
**To:** Jonathan Cote; Jaimie McEvoy; Mary Trentadue; Chinu Das; Patrick Johnstone; Chuck Puchmayr; Nadine Nakagawa; External-Clerks; External-Clerks  
**Cc:** Britney Dack  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Heritage Revitalization Agreement (328 Second Street) Bylaw no. 8309,2022 and Heritage Designation (328 Second Street) Bylaw No. 8310,2022  
**Date:** Friday, May 20, 2022 10:54:29 AM

**CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of New Westminster's network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Clerks newwestcity.ca

Please reply advising that you have received this and it will be included in package for May 30,2022 Council Meeting.

New Westminster City Council

Jonathon Cote  
Jamie McEvoy  
Mary Trentadue  
Chinu Das  
Patrick Johnstone  
Chuck Puchmayr  
Nadine Nakagawa

Re: Heritage Revitalization Agreement (328 Second Street) Bylaw no. 8309,2022 and Heritage Designation (328 Second Street) Bylaw No. 8310,2022

I oppose this revitalization agreement for the following reasons.

-----  
I had an informal meeting with one of the owners of this property before the community consultation and the open house and my comments were not recorded.

I received no formal notification of the community consultation and also no formal notification for the open house. This seems quite irregular to me as I am one the owners next to this property. I did not participate in either of these for that reason.

-----  
-One of the reasons I partnered in purchasing a home in New Westminster and specifically the Queens Park neighborhood was the large lots and the distance from my neighbors thus creating a buffer zone so my neighbors and I would have less cause to infringe upon one another with their daily activities.

Now with Heritage Revitalization Agreements allowing more and more infill and stratification this comfort zone is being destroyed.

-I am opposed to the subdivision of the property and would also oppose the stratification of same.

This property is not a corner lot and has no lane access, the frontage is too narrow to support two properties, the Heritage house is too large for the subdivided property it would sit on, and the infill house is also too large being a 1.5 story plus basement house.

-The panhandle lot is not consistent with the dimensions of a normal lot in New Westminster and would set a precedent.

-While there would be an official agreement with the shared driveway and parking, I still see it as a potential item of conflict between the two properties (at present and into the future), and also since the parking spaces would be located next to my property a potential noise and air quality issue for me as neighbor.

Dwight D Milnthorp  
Second Street  
New Westminster B.C.