

From: [Jamieson Pritchard](#)
To: [External-Legislative Services](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Public Correspondence - DVP at 602 Agnes Street (68 Sixth Street)
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:42:36 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of New Westminster's network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please delete the above and replace with the following message -

--

Good afternoon,

I wanted to commend City staff for writing such an eloquent Council report; not only did they clearly make reference to previous policy context or other pilot projects (*whether local or regional*), but they also clearly articulated how this proposed DVP will further advance the City's (*and Province's*) housing affordability goals and objectives.

Consequently, for the reasons articulated in the report, I am generally in support of the proposed DVP - albeit with one comment / recommendation:

If further "pilot projects" similar to this scenario are expected to come along in the future, then perhaps it may be time to establish some sort of formal policy and procedure for when the City may contemplate this sort of variance to the SDC Bylaw ... especially if other entities beyond BCHMC and the Aboriginal Land Trust Society are also seeking to request the same accommodations as well. In short, what are the parameters of said development in which a similar DVP may be applied for and considered?

One DVP was an event, two DVP was a coincidence ... and when the third one comes up, well, it may be time to prepare a short-form formal policy for all to see and consider.

All the best,

On Mon, Jun 17, 2024 at 5:40 PM Jamieson Pritchard wrote:

Good afternoon,

I wanted to commend City staff for writing such an eloquent Council report; not only did they clearly make reference to previous policy context or other pilot projects (*whether local or regional*), but for clearly articulating how this proposed DVP will further advance the City's (*and Province's*) housing affordability goals and objectives.

Consequently, for the reasons articulated in the report, I am generally in support of the proposed DVP - albeit with one comment / recommendation:

If further "pilot projects" similar to this scenario are expected to come along in the future, then perhaps it may be time to establish some sort of formal policy and procedure for when the City may contemplate this sort of variance to the SDC Bylaw ... especially if other

entities beyond BCHMC and the Aboriginal Land Trust Society are also seeking to request the same accommodations as well. In short, what are the parameters of said development in which a similar DVP may be applied for and considered?

One DVP was an event, two DVP was a coincidence ... and when the third one comes up, well, it may be time to prepare a short-form formal policy for all to see and consider.

All the best,

--

Jamieson Pritchard
Carnarvon Street

--

Jamieson Pritchard